

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	NVENTOR	,	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	08/336,33	5 11/09/	94 ELLIS		J	0984.94C
Г				٦	HANSEN.	EXAMINER
			C2M1/0517			
	FRANK J C	ATALANO				
		ZINGERMAN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	810 SOUTH	CINCINNAT	I SUITE 200			
	TULSA OK	74119			3209	
			·		DATE MAILED:	
						05/17/96

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks





Advisory Action

Application No. 08/336,335 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

Ellis



		Kenneth J. Hansen	3209						
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only	a) or b)]								
a) 🔀 expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
	is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final								
date on which the response, the petition, and determining the period of extension and the co	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.								
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).									
Applicant's response to the final rejection, but is NOT deemed to place the application			ed with the following effect,						
☐ The proposed amendment(s):									
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.									
☐ will not be entered because:									
they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).									
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).									
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.									
they present additional claims wi	thout cancelling	a a corresponding number of final	ly rejected claims.						
NOTE:			., , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
		non a control de contr							
**************************************	***************************************		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):									
									
Newly proposed or amended claims separate, timely filed amendment cancer.	lling the gon o	Would	be allowable if submitted in a						
separate, timely filed amendment canci	ming the non-a	nowable claims.							
The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition									
for allowance because: The present invention concepts of computer control of relative tool and workpiece oscillation are clearly taught in									
Medeksza and AAPA.Re. arguments co									
<u> </u>	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by								
	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):								
Claims allowed: none	Claims allowed: none								
Claims objected to: 6-8									
Claims rejected: 1-5	Claims rejected: 1-5								
☐ The proposed drawing correction filed	on	has has not be	een approved by the Examiner.						
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosu	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)								
☑ Other Re. obj. of claims 6 -8, the ex. h	Other Re. obj. of claims 6 -8, the ex. has reconsidered rejection due to app.'s present								
Remarks since the prior art of record does not teach or suggest the claimed control ACHUBA									
	of lathe means describing a spiral path from an edge to the center of wayppings das naturally and the center of wayppings of the center of								
shown in fig. 3) resulting from s	shown in fig. 3) resulting from superimposition of workpiece oscillatation and								
nominal infeed from oscillatory r	eciprocation.		<i>-</i> //-//						